



Operators

Homework Problems

12. Projections (19 points)

Consider the multiplication operator $P = p(\hat{x})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ associated to the function

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

- (i) Find 2 eigenfunctions.
- (ii) Compute $\sigma(P)$.
- (iii) Determine the nature of the spectrum, i. e. determine $\sigma_p(P)$, $\sigma_{\text{cont}}(P)$, and $\sigma_r(P)$.
- (iv) Prove that P is an orthogonal projection.

Solution:

- (i) For instance, consider the functions

$$\psi_0(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [-1, 0] \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}, \quad \psi_1(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

(All that is important is that one of them is zero on $[0, +\infty)$ and the other is zero on $(-\infty, 0]$.) Then clearly, ψ_0 is an eigenfunction to the eigenvalue 0, $(P\psi_0)(x) = p(x)\psi_0(x) = 0$ [1], while ψ_1 is an eigenfunction to the eigenvalue 1, $(P\psi_1)(x) = p(x)\psi_1(x) = \psi_1(x)$ [1].

- (ii) First of all, P has two eigenvalues, namely 0 and 1 [2]: the eigenvectors to the eigenvalue 1 are functions which vanish almost everywhere on $(-\infty, 0)$. Similarly, eigenvectors to the eigenvalue 0 are functions which vanish almost everywhere on $[0, +\infty)$.

Since $(P - z)\varphi = 0$ means that $((P - z)\varphi)(x) = 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ [1]. Thus, for all $z \neq 0, 1$ we have $(P - z)\varphi \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \neq 0$ [1], i. e. $P - z$ is invertible as long as $z \neq 0, 1$ [1], and we have shown $\sigma(P) = \{0, 1\}$ [1].

- (iii) Since all vectors are eigenvectors, the spectrum consists just of point spectrum,

$$\sigma_p(P) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \sigma(P) = \{0, 1\}, \quad \sigma_{\text{cont}}(P) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \emptyset, \quad \sigma_r(P) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \emptyset.$$

- (iv) p is a real-valued, bounded function, and hence, by problem 11 (ii) $P^* = P$ [2]. (Otherwise, one needs to show this by hand for this special case.)

To see $P^2 = P$, we note $p^2 = p$ in the sense of functions and conclude

$$(P^2\varphi)(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} p(x)^2 \varphi(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} p(x) \varphi(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} (P\varphi)(x).$$

Thus, $P = P^* = P^2$ is an orthogonal projection [1].

13. The discrete Laplacian (24 points)

Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with the usual scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}$. Define the shift operator

$$\mathfrak{s} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), (\mathfrak{s}\psi)(n) := \psi(n-1)$$

as well as the shift by $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ lattice units, $\mathfrak{s}_a := \mathfrak{s}^a$. Consider the *discrete Laplacian*

$$\Delta : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), (\Delta\psi)(n) := \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) - 2\psi(n).$$

- (i) Compute \mathfrak{s}_a^* and prove that \mathfrak{s}_a is unitary.
- (ii) Show that Δ is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- (iii) Show that \mathfrak{s}_a and Δ commute, i. e. $[\mathfrak{s}_a, \Delta] := \mathfrak{s}_a\Delta - \Delta\mathfrak{s}_a = 0$.
- (iv) Compute Δ^* .
- (v) Determine E_k so that

$$\psi_k(n) := e^{+ink}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in [-\pi, +\pi],$$

is an eigenvalue to the discrete Laplacian,

$$(\Delta\psi_k)(n) = E_k\psi_k(n).$$

Is ψ_k an element of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$?

Remark: The Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is often used in solid state physics where the shift operator $(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}\widehat{\psi})(n) := \widehat{\psi}(n-1)$ is interpreted as translating the particle by one lattice unit.

Solution:

- (i) Let $\varphi, \psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. The adjoint operator \mathfrak{s}_a^* is then \mathfrak{s}_{-a} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \mathfrak{s}_a\psi \rangle &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\varphi(n)} (\mathfrak{s}_a\psi)(n) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\varphi(n)} \psi(n-a) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\varphi(k+a)} \psi(k) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{(\mathfrak{s}_{-a}\varphi)(k)} \psi(k) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \mathfrak{s}_{-a}\varphi, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

\mathfrak{s}_{-a} is also the inverse to \mathfrak{s}_a [1], since

$$(\mathfrak{s}_{-a}\mathfrak{s}_a\varphi)(n) = (\mathfrak{s}_a\varphi)(n+a) = \varphi(n+a-a) = \varphi(n)$$

holds for all $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means \mathfrak{s}_a is unitary [1].

- (ii) We recognize that actually $\Delta = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}^* - 2$ [1], so we deduce Δ is bounded

$$\|\Delta\| \stackrel{[1]}{\leq} \|\mathfrak{s}\| + \|\mathfrak{s}^*\| + \|2\| \stackrel{[1]}{=} 4.$$

- (iii) Translations commute amongst one another, $\mathfrak{s}_a\mathfrak{s}_b = \mathfrak{s}_{a+b} = \mathfrak{s}_b\mathfrak{s}_a$ [1], and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s}_a\Delta &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \mathfrak{s}_a\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}_a\mathfrak{s}^* - 2\mathfrak{s}_a \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}_a + \mathfrak{s}^*\mathfrak{s}_a - 2\mathfrak{s}_a \stackrel{[1]}{=} \Delta\mathfrak{s}_a \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $[\mathfrak{s}_a, \Delta]\psi = 0$ and \mathfrak{s}_a commutes with Δ [1].

(iv) We will see that the discrete Laplacian Δ is selfadjoint: for all $\varphi, \psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \Delta \psi \rangle &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \varphi, \mathfrak{s}\psi \rangle + \langle \varphi, \mathfrak{s}^*\psi \rangle - 2 \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \mathfrak{s}^*\varphi, \psi \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{s}\varphi, \psi \rangle - 2 \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \Delta \varphi, \psi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. $\Delta^* = \Delta$ is selfadjoint [1].

(v) We apply Δ to the sequence ψ_k with entries $\psi_k(n) = e^{+ink}$, $k \in [-\pi, +\pi]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \psi_k)(n) &= \psi_k(n+1) + \psi_k(n-1) - 2\psi_k(n) \stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+i(n+1)k} + e^{+i(n-1)k} - 2e^{+ink} \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} (e^{+ik} + e^{-ik} - 2) e^{+ink} \stackrel{[1]}{=} (2 \cos k - 2) e^{+ink} \stackrel{[1]}{=} E_k \psi_k(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\psi_k(n)| = |e^{+ink}| = 1$ is independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence ψ_k cannot be square summable, because $\psi_k \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ necessarily implies $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k(n) = 0$ [1].

14. Position and momentum representation (15 points)

Consider $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with the usual scalar $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}$ product and $L^2([0, 2\pi])$ endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi} \rangle_{L^2([0, 2\pi])} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(k)} \widehat{\psi}(k).$$

Define the Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} : L^2([0, 2\pi]) &\longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \psi(n) = (\mathcal{F}\widehat{\psi})(n) &:= \langle e^{+ink}, \widehat{\psi} \rangle_{L^2([0, 2\pi])} \end{aligned}$$

and its inverse

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \ni \psi \mapsto (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi)(k) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(n) e^{+ink}.$$

You may use without proof that \mathcal{F} is unitary.

- (i) For the shift operator $(\mathfrak{s}\widehat{\psi})(n) := \widehat{\psi}(n-1)$, compute $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathfrak{s} \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) For the discrete Laplacian from problem 13, compute the momentum representation $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta \mathcal{F}$.
- (iii) What is the connection between ψ_k from problem 13 (v) in the position representation and $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta \mathcal{F}$ in the momentum representation? Heuristically, what is the inverse Fourier transform of ψ_k ?
- (iv) Is $\Delta \geq 0$? Justify your answer.

Solution:

- (i) Pick $\widehat{\psi} \in L^2([0, 2\pi])$. Then a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathfrak{s} \mathcal{F}\widehat{\psi})(k) &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{s} \mathcal{F}\widehat{\psi})(n) e^{+ink} \stackrel{[1]}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{F}\widehat{\psi})(n-1) e^{+ik} e^{+i(n-1)k} \\ &= e^{+ik} \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{F}\widehat{\psi})(n') e^{+in'k} \stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+ik} \widehat{\psi}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the discrete Laplacian in momentum representation is the multiplication operator $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathfrak{s} \mathcal{F} = e^{+i\hat{k}} [1]$.

- (ii) Given that $\Delta = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}^* - 2 [1]$, we can reuse the result from (i) to conclude that in momentum representation, the discrete Laplacian is multiplication by $2 \cos k - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta \mathcal{F} &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}^* - 2) \mathcal{F} \stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+i\hat{k}} + e^{-i\hat{k}} - 2 \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} 2 \cos \hat{k} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) The ψ_k were pseudoeigenvectors to $\Delta [1]$, meaning that while they satisfy the eigenvalue equation, they are not square-summable [1]. Heuristically, their inverse Fourier transform is the delta function $\delta(\cdot - k) [1]$, because $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta \mathcal{F}$ is a multiplication operator and the eigenvectors of multiplication operators are delta distributions.

(iv) No, $\Delta \leq 0$: One can check that in Fourier representation, with $\hat{\psi} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi, \mathcal{F}^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi \rangle_{L^2([0,2\pi])} \\
 &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \hat{\psi}, (2 \cos \hat{k} - 2)\hat{\psi} \rangle_{L^2([0,2\pi])} \\
 &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \underbrace{(2 \cos k - 2)}_{\leq 0} |\hat{\psi}(k)|^2 \stackrel{[1]}{\leq} 0
 \end{aligned}$$

15. Rank-1 operators (14 points)

Suppose $\varphi, \psi \neq 0$ are elements of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and define the rank-1 operator $T = |\varphi\rangle\langle\psi|$ via

$$T\phi = \langle\psi, \phi\rangle \varphi.$$

- (i) Find all eigenvectors and eigenvalues of T .
- (ii) Compute $\sigma(T)$.
- (iii) Determine the nature of the spectrum, i. e. determine $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_{\text{cont}}(T)$ and $\sigma_r(T)$.

Solution:

- (i) We can read off the eigenvalues from the form of the operator: the first eigenvector is φ [1] with eigenvalue $\lambda := \langle\psi, \varphi\rangle$ [1].

The other eigenvalue is 0 [1], because for any vector ϕ perpendicular to ψ , we have $T\phi = 0$ [1], and thus the eigenspace is

$$\ker T \stackrel{[1]}{=} \{\psi\}^\perp.$$

Now there are two cases: $\psi \perp \varphi$, and then also $\lambda = 0$ and the only eigenvalue is 0 [1]. Or $\langle\psi, \varphi\rangle \neq 0$ and T has two different eigenvalues [1].

- (ii) Clearly, $\{0, \lambda\} \subseteq \sigma(T)$ where $\lambda = \langle\psi, \varphi\rangle$ [1].

Since $\text{ran } T = \text{span}\{\varphi\}$ is a one-dimensional subspace, the operator $T - z$ is always invertible on $(\text{ran } T)^\perp$ [1]. On the one-dimensional subspace $\text{ran } T$, the operator is invertible if and only if $z \neq \lambda$ [1]. Hence, we have shown $\sigma(T) = \{0, \lambda\}$ [1].

- (iii) By the classification introduced in Chapter 4.1, we know that

$$\sigma_p(T) = \sigma(P) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \{0, \lambda\}, \quad \sigma_{\text{cont}}(T) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \emptyset, \quad \sigma_r(T) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \emptyset.$$