



## Functional Calculus

### Homework Problems

#### 34. The Weyl criterion (19 points)

Prove the Weyl criterion:

**Theorem 1** Let  $H$  be a selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(H)$ .

(i)  $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$  holds if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H\psi_n - \lambda\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$$

(ii) We have  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$  if and only if we can choose the sequence  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to be orthonormal.

#### Solution:

(i) The weak Weyl criterion takes care of one direction, i. e. if there exists a Weyl sequence  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then necessarily  $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$  [1].

For the converse direction, pick a  $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$ . We will now construct a Weyl sequence: since  $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$  we know from Proposition 6.2.1 that the projection-valued measures  $P((\lambda - 1/n, \lambda + 1/n)) \neq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , do not vanish [1]. Consequently, we can choose a normalized vector  $\psi_n \in \text{ran } P((\lambda - 1/n, \lambda + 1/n))$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  [1]. And thus, we can estimate the norm by

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\psi_n - \lambda\psi_n\|^2 &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \|(H - \lambda) 1_{(\lambda - 1/n, \lambda + 1/n)}(H)\psi_n\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\lambda - 1/n}^{\lambda + 1/n} \langle \psi_n, dP(\lambda')\psi_n \rangle (\lambda' - \lambda)^2 \stackrel{[1]}{\leq} \frac{1}{n^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Weyl sequence [1].

(ii) “ $\Rightarrow$ ” If we assume in addition that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_n := \text{ran } P((\lambda - 1/n, \lambda + 1/n))$  is infinite-dimensional for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  [1], and these spaces are nested,  $\mathcal{H}_n \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  [1], meaning we can choose

$$\psi_{n+1} \stackrel{[1]}{\in} \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \cap (\text{span}\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n)^\perp$$

because the intersection on the right-hand side is non-trivial [1]. Hence, the Weyl sequence constructed in this fashion is orthonormal [1].

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose the Weyl sequence  $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is composed of orthonormal vectors. We already know from part (i) that  $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$  [1], and all that remains to be shown is  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\psi_n \in \text{ran } 1_{(\lambda-1/n, \lambda+1/n)}(H)$  (otherwise select a subsequence which satisfies the above condition) [1].

Assume  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{disc}}(H)$  [1]. Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity; Moreover,  $\lambda$  cannot be the accumulation point of eigenvalues [1]. That means for  $n \geq N$  large enough the intersection  $\sigma(H) \cap (\lambda - 1/n, \lambda + 1/n) = \{\lambda\}$  consists only of the eigenvalue itself [1]. But then for all  $n \geq N$  the subspace  $\text{ran } 1_{(\lambda-1/n, \lambda+1/n)}(H)$  is finite-dimensional [1], meaning that the Weyl sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  cannot be chosen to consist of orthonormal vectors [1].

### 35. Functional calculus for the momentum operator (25 points)

Consider the momentum operator  $P = -i\partial_x$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(P) = H^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (i) Show  $P = P^*$  and give  $\sigma(P)$ .
- (ii) Compute the projection-valued measure  $1_\Lambda(P)$  where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set.
- (iii) Explain how to define  $U(t) := e^{-itP}$  and prove that  $U(t)U(s) = U(t+s)$ .
- (iv) Define the selfadjoint operator  $H = P^2$  via the functional calculus associated to  $P$  and prove that it coincides with  $H' = -\partial_x^2$  endowed with domain  $\mathcal{D}(H') = H^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Solution:**

- (i) We use the Fundamental Criterion of Selfadjointness [1]: we first shown essential selfadjointness of  $P$  and then, in a second step, show that the domain of selfadjointness coincides with  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . To compute the deficiency indices  $N_\pm := \dim \ker(P^* \pm i)$  [1], we solve the equation

$$-i\partial_x\varphi_\pm = \mp i\varphi_\pm \iff \partial_x\varphi_\pm = \pm\varphi_\pm \quad [1]$$

for both signs. Obviously, the solutions are  $\varphi_\pm(x) = ce^{\pm x}$  [1]. However, for neither choice of sign is  $\varphi_\pm \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$  square-integrable [1]. Consequently,  $N_\pm = 0$  and  $P$  is essentially selfadjoint [1]. On the other hand, the domain of  $P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(P) = H^1(\mathbb{R}) &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sqrt{1 + \xi^2} \mathcal{F}\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\} \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi (1 + \xi^2) \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) < \infty \right\} \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \xi^2 \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

coincides with the maximal domain,

$$\mathcal{D}(P_{\max}) = \{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \partial_x\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \stackrel{[1]}{=} \mathcal{D}(P).$$

And hence,  $P = P^*$  is selfadjoint.

- (ii) Given that  $\mathcal{F}P\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \hat{\xi}$  [1] is equivalent to a multiplication operator, we can write the projection-valued measure as

$$1_\Lambda(P) \stackrel{[2]}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1} 1_\Lambda(\hat{\xi}) \mathcal{F}.$$

- (iii) As  $\lambda \mapsto e^{-it\lambda}$  is a bounded Borel function [1],

$$U(t) = e^{-itP} \stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{d\lambda}(P) e^{-it\lambda},$$

is defined via functional calculus. Then  $U(t)U(s) = U(t+s)$  is an immediate consequence of  $f(H)g(H) = (fg)(H)$  [1] and  $e^{-it\lambda}e^{-is\lambda} = e^{-i(t+s)\lambda}$  on the level of functions [1].

- (iv) The operator

$$H \stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{d\lambda}(P) \lambda^2$$

defined via functional calculus is endowed with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(H) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \psi, 1_{d\lambda}(P)\psi \rangle \lambda^4 < \infty \right\}.$$

Since it is clear that  $H$  acts on  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$  as  $\varphi \mapsto -\partial_x^2 \varphi$  [1], it remains to show  $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(H') = H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . As we can express the projection-valued measure as a multiplication operator after Fourier transform, we can rewrite the imposed condition from  $\mathcal{D}(H)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \psi, 1_{d\lambda}(P) \psi \rangle \lambda^4 &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathcal{F}\psi, 1_{d\lambda}(\hat{\xi}) \mathcal{F}\psi \rangle \lambda^4 \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda |\lambda^2 \mathcal{F}\psi(\lambda)|^2 \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \|\hat{\xi}^2 \mathcal{F}\psi\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

That, however, is equivalent to saying  $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  [1], and we have shown  $\mathcal{D}(H) = H^2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(H')$  [1].

**36. Functional calculus for the position operator (31 points)**

Suppose  $H = -\partial_x^2 + V = H^*$  is a selfadjoint operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(H)$ , and consider the position operator  $Q = \hat{x}$  equipped with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(Q) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \hat{x}\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

You may use without proof that  $Q$  is selfadjoint.

- (i) Show that  $Q(t) := e^{+itH} Q e^{-itH}$  satisfies the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q(t) = i [H, Q(t)].$$

A formal computation suffices (i. e. you may ignore questions of domains).

- (ii) Prove that also  $Q(t) = Q(t)^*$  is selfadjoint.  
 (iii) Let  $(V(\hat{x})\psi)(x) := V(x)\psi(x)$  be the multiplication operator associated to a bounded Borel function  $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Prove that  $V(\hat{x})$  coincides with  $V(Q)$  (defined through functional calculus associated to  $Q$ ).  
 (iv) Prove  $(V(Q))(t) := e^{+itH} V(Q) e^{-itH}$  coincides with  $V(Q(t))$ .

**Solution:**

- (i) Using that  $H$  and  $e^{\pm itH}$  commute (Theorem 4.3.5) [1], we compute the time-derivative and collect the terms accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Q(t) &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \left(\frac{d}{dt}e^{+itH}\right) Q e^{-itH} + e^{+itH} Q \left(\frac{d}{dt}e^{-itH}\right) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} +iH e^{+itH} Q e^{-itH} + e^{+itH} Q (-iH e^{-itH}) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} i [H, Q(t)] \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  $(AB)^* \stackrel{[1]}{=} B^* A^*$  and  $(e^{-itH})^* \stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+itH}$  implies immediately

$$\begin{aligned} (Q(t))^* &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \left(e^{+itH} Q e^{-itH}\right)^* \stackrel{[1]}{=} (e^{-itH})^* Q^* (e^{+itH})^* \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+itH} Q e^{-itH} \stackrel{[1]}{=} Q(t). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Since  $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , both,  $V(\hat{x})$  and  $V(Q)$  define bounded operators by Problem 27 [1] and Lemma 6.1.7 [1], respectively. We have to show  $(V(Q)\psi)(x) = V(x)\psi(x)$  [1]: the projection-valued measure

$$1_\Lambda(Q) = 1_\Lambda(\hat{x})$$

is simply the multiplication operator associated to the characteristic function  $1_\Lambda$  [1]. Consequently, the two operators agree,

$$\begin{aligned} (V(Q)\psi)(x) &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_{d\lambda}(\hat{x})\psi)(x) V(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \delta(\lambda - x) \psi(x) V(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} V(x)\psi(x) \stackrel{[1]}{=} (V(\hat{x})\psi)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Once we show

$$1_{\Lambda}(Q(t)) = e^{+itH} 1_{\Lambda}(Q) e^{-itH}, \quad (1)$$

we immediately deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (V(Q))(t) &\stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+itH} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{d\lambda}(Q) V(\lambda) \right) e^{-itH} \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{+itH} 1_{d\lambda}(Q) e^{-itH} V(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{d\lambda}(Q(t)) V(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} V(Q(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The only thing left to show is equation (1), and this is done akin to showing covariance in Problem 32: using the ‘‘covariance’’ of the resolvent

$$(Q(t) - z)^{-1} \stackrel{[1]}{=} e^{+itH} (Q - z)^{-1} e^{-itH},$$

we obtain with the help of the Herglotz representation theorem a connection between the measure for  $Q(t)$  and vector  $\psi$ , and  $Q$  for the vector  $e^{-itH}\psi$  [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, (Q(t) - z)^{-1} \psi \rangle &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu_{\psi}^{Q(t)}(\lambda) (\lambda - z)^{-1} \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle e^{-itH}\psi, (Q - z)^{-1} e^{-itH}\psi \rangle \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu_{e^{-itH}\psi}^Q(\lambda) (\lambda - z)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, also the projection-valued measure satisfies the same covariance relation, because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, 1_{\Lambda}(Q(t))\psi \rangle &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\Lambda} d\mu_{\psi}^{Q(t)}(\lambda) \stackrel{[1]}{=} \int_{\Lambda} d\mu_{e^{-itH}\psi}^Q(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{[1]}{=} \langle \psi, e^{+itH} 1_{\Lambda}(Q) e^{-itH}\psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves equation (1), and thus also  $(V(Q))(t) = V(Q(t))$  [1].