Topological Classification of Electromagnetic Media

in collaboration with Giuseppe De Nittis

Max Lein

Advanced Institute of Materials Research, Tohoku University 2017.09.13@Topological Photonic Insulator, BIRS

Quantum Hall Effect for Light

Predicted theoretically by Raghu & Haldane (2005) ...

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & 0\\ 0 & \overline{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0\\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$
symmetry breaking



Schrödinger Formalism

Quantum Hall Effect for Light

... and realized experimentally by Joannopoulos et al (2009)





Topological Effects: Phenomenological Similarities



Light



Coupled Oscillators

electrons can move along edge (conducting)



Quantum

- Periodic structure \rightsquigarrow bulk band gap
- Breaking of time-reversal symmetries
- Unidirectional edge modes
- Robust under perturbations

Material vs. Crystallographic Symmetries

Material

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

- Properties of and relations between ε , μ and χ
- Example:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \neq \overline{W}, \ \ \varepsilon \neq \mu$$

Only these are considered here!



Material vs. Crystallographic Symmetries

Material

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

- Properties of and relations between ε , μ and χ
- Example:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \neq \overline{W}, \ \ \varepsilon \neq \mu$$

Only these are considered here!



Two Main Questions for Today

- Is the Quantum Hall Effect for Light really analogous to the Quantum Hall Effect?
- ② Are there other topological effects?
- → Topological classification of electromagnetic media

Strategy to Obtain Classification

Rewrite Maxwell's equations in the form of a Schrödinger equation

(De Nittis & L., The Schrödinger Formalism of Electromagnetism and Other Classical Waves (2017))

2 Apply Cartan-Altland-Zirnbauer classification scheme of (quantum) topological insulators

(De Nittis & L., Symmetry Classification of Topological Photonic Crystals (2017))

Setting: Electromagnetic Waves in Linear Medium



Johnson & Joannopoulos (2004)

Assumption (Material weights)

$$W(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(x) & \chi(x) \\ \chi(x)^* & \mu(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

- 1 dispersion-free
- 2 lossless
 - $(W(x)^* = W(x)$ hermitian)
- 3 not a negative or 0 index material

(the eigenvalues of W(x) are positive and do not reach 0)

④ periodic

 $(W(x+\gamma)=W(x) \text{ for all} \label{eq:weak}$ lattice vectors $\gamma)$

Summary





3 Comparison with Literature



Making Quantum-Wave Analogies Rigorous



- States describe the configuration of the system at a given time.
- Observables represent experimentally measurable quantities.
- 3 Dynamics explain how states or observables evolve over time.

Recap: States and Dynamics in Quantum Mechanics

States and Dynamics

A selfadjoint Hamilton operator, e.g.

$$\begin{split} H &= \frac{1}{2m} \big(-\mathrm{i} \nabla - A \big)^2 + V \\ H &= m \, \beta + \big(-\mathrm{i} \nabla - A \big) \cdot \alpha + V \end{split}$$

- **2** A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and states are represented by its elements, e. g. $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}x \, \phi(x) \cdot \psi(x)$.
- Operation 2 Dynamics given by the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\,\partial_t\psi(t)=H\psi(t),\qquad\qquad\psi(0)=\phi$$

Schrödinger Formalism of Electromagnetism States and Dynamics

(1) "Hamilton" operator $M_+ = W^{-1} \operatorname{Rot} \Big|_{\omega > 0} = M_+^{*_W}$ where

$$\mathsf{Rot} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +i\nabla^{\times} \\ -i\nabla^{\times} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\label{eq:Hilbert space } \textbf{\mathcal{H}}_+ = \Big\{ \Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^6) \ \big| \ \Psi \text{ is } \omega > 0 \text{ state} \Big\} \\ \text{ with energy scalar product }$

$$\left\langle \Phi,\Psi\right\rangle_W=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}x\,\Phi(x)\cdot W(x)\Psi(x)$$

Oynamics given by Schrödinger equation

 $\mathrm{i}\,\partial_t\Psi_+(t)=M_+\Psi_+(t),\qquad\qquad\Psi_+(0)=P_+(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})\in\mathcal{H}_+$

Real-valuedness of physical solutions:

 $\big(\mathbf{E}(t),\mathbf{H}(t)\big)=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_+(t)$

Representing Real Fields as Complex Waves

Generalize idea from in vacuo Maxwell equations Real solutions = linear combinations of complex waves of $\pm \omega(\pm k)$

$$\begin{split} & \cos(k \cdot x - \omega t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} + \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} \Big) = \mathsf{Re}\left(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)}\right) \\ & \sin(k \cdot x - \omega t) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{i}2} \Big(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} - \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} \Big) = \mathsf{Im}\left(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)}\right) \end{split}$$

Idea

Uniquely and systematically represent real, transversal fields as complex waves of $\omega > 0$

$$(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})=\Psi_++\Psi_-=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_+$$

Information contained in $\Psi_{-} = \overline{\Psi_{+}}$ is redundant

Representing Real Fields as Complex Waves

Generalize idea from in vacuo Maxwell equations Real solutions = linear combinations of complex waves of $\pm \omega(\pm k)$

$$\begin{split} & \cos(k \cdot x - \omega t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} + \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} \Big) = \mathsf{Re}\left(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)}\right) \\ & \sin(k \cdot x - \omega t) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{i}2} \Big(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)} - \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)}\Big) = \mathsf{Im}\left(\mathsf{e}^{+\mathsf{i}(k \cdot x - t\,\omega)}\right) \end{split}$$

Idea

Uniquely and systematically represent real, transversal fields as complex waves of $\omega>0$

$$(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})=\Psi_++\Psi_-=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_+$$

Information contained in $\Psi_{-} = \overline{\Psi_{+}}$ is *redundant*

Maxwell's equations in media

Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \\ -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} J^D \\ J^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(dynamical eqns.)}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^D \\ \rho^B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(constraint eqns.)}$$

2 Constitutive relations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Conservation of charge

$$\nabla\cdot J^{\sharp} + \partial_t \rho^{\sharp} = 0, \quad \sharp = D, B$$

Maxwell's equations in media

Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \\ -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(dynamical eqns.)

(constraint eqns.)

2 Constitutive relations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Conservation of charge ~> neglect sources for simplicity

$$\nabla \cdot J^{\sharp} + \partial_t \rho^{\sharp} = 0, \quad \sharp = D, B$$

Maxwell's equations in media

Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \\ -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (dynamical eqns.)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (constraint eqns.)$$

2 Constitutive relations for a linear, dispersive medium

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}(t,x) \\ \mathbf{B}(t,x) \end{pmatrix} = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{d}s \, W(t-s,x) \, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}(s,x) \\ \mathbf{H}(s,x) \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Conservation of charge

$$\nabla \cdot J^{\sharp} + \partial_t \rho^{\sharp} = 0, \quad \sharp = D, B$$

Maxwell's equations in media

Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \\ -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(dynamical eqns.)

(constraint eqns.)

2 Constitutive relations

$$\big(\mathbf{D}(t),\mathbf{B}(t)\big) = \big(W*(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})\big)(t)$$

3 Conservation of charge

$$\nabla \cdot J^{\sharp} + \partial_t \rho^{\sharp} = 0, \quad \sharp = D, B$$

Heuristically Neglecting Dispersion in Maxwell's Equations

Apply inverse Fourier transform in time to go from time-dependent to frequency-dependent equations.

2 Approximate material weights $\widehat{W}(\pm\omega) \approx \widehat{W}(\pm\omega_0) = W_{\pm}$ for frequencies $\pm\omega \approx \pm\omega_0$. $+\omega_0$ and $-\omega_0$ contributions necessary to reconstruct real solutions.

3 Undo Fourier transform to obtain dynamical equations in the absence of dispersion.

Heuristically Neglecting Dispersion in Maxwell's Equations

- Apply inverse Fourier transform in time to go from time-dependent to frequency-dependent equations.
- $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{$2$} \end{array} \mbox{Approximate material weights} \\ & \widehat{W}(\pm \omega) \approx \widehat{W}(\pm \omega_0) = W_{\pm} \mbox{ for frequencies } \pm \omega \approx \pm \omega_0. \end{array}$

 $+\omega_0$ and $-\omega_0$ contributions necessary to reconstruct real solutions.

Undo Fourier transform to obtain dynamical equations in the absence of dispersion.

Heuristically Neglecting Dispersion in Maxwell's Equations

- Apply inverse Fourier transform in time to go from time-dependent to frequency-dependent equations.
- 2 Approximate material weights $\widehat{W}(\pm \omega) \approx \widehat{W}(\pm \omega_0) = W_{\pm}$ for frequencies $\pm \omega \approx \pm \omega_0$. $+\omega_0$ and $-\omega_0$ contributions necessary to reconstruct real solutions.
- 3 Undo Fourier transform to obtain dynamical equations in the absence of dispersion.

Dispersion-Free Maxwell Equations for Gyrotropic Media

Real solutions linear combination of complex $\pm \omega$ waves:

 $(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})=\Psi_++\Psi_-=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_\pm$

 \Longrightarrow Pair of equations

$$\begin{split} \omega > 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} W_+ \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_+ = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_+ \\ \mathrm{Div} \, W_+ \Psi_+ = 0 \end{cases} \\ \omega < 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} W_- \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_- = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_- \\ \mathrm{Div} \, W_- \Psi_- = 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

 $W = \overline{W} \iff W_{-} = \overline{W_{+}}$

Dispersion-Free Maxwell Equations for Gyrotropic Media

Real solutions linear combination of complex $\pm \omega$ waves:

 $(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})=\Psi_++\Psi_-=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_\pm$

 \Longrightarrow Pair of equations

$$\begin{split} \omega > 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} W_+ \, \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_+ = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_+ \\ \mathrm{Div} \, W_+ \, \Psi_+ = 0 \end{cases} \\ \omega < 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} \overline{W_+} \, \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_- = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_- \\ \mathrm{Div} \, \overline{W_+} \, \Psi_- = 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$W = \overline{W} \iff W_{-} = \overline{W_{+}}$$

Dispersion-Free Maxwell Equations for Gyrotropic Media

Real solutions linear combination of complex $\pm \omega$ waves:

 $(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})=\Psi_++\Psi_-=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_+$

 \Longrightarrow Pair of equations

$$\begin{split} \omega > 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} W_+ \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_+ = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_+ \\ \mathrm{Div} \, W_+ \Psi_+ = 0 \end{cases} \\ \omega < 0: \qquad & \begin{cases} W_- \mathrm{i} \partial_t \Psi_- = \mathrm{Rot} \, \Psi_- \\ \mathrm{Div} \, W_- \Psi_- = 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$W = \overline{W} \iff W_{-} = \overline{W_{+}}$$

Schrödinger Formalism of Maxwell's Equations

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))

$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Real transversal states} \\ (\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H}) = 2 \mbox{Re} \, \Psi_+ \\ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^E_+ \\ \psi^H_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} + \nabla \times \psi^E_+ \\ - \nabla \times \psi^H_+ \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{cases} \mbox{Complex states with } \omega > 0 \\ \Psi = P_+ (\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H}) \\ M = W^{-1} \mbox{ Rot } |_{\omega > 0} = M^{*w} \\ \mathbf{i} \, \partial_t \Psi = M \Psi \end{cases}$

(De Nittis & L., The Schrödinger Formalism of Electromagnetism and Other Classical Waves (2017))

Schrödinger Formalism of Electromagnetism

States and Dynamics

- **(1)** "Hamilton" operator $M_+ = W^{-1} \operatorname{Rot} \Big|_{\omega > 0}$ for $\omega > 0$
- Oynamics given by Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\,\partial_t\Psi_+(t)=M_+\Psi_+(t),\qquad\qquad\Psi_+(0)=P_+(\mathbf{E},\mathbf{H})\in\mathcal{H}_+$$

④ Real-valuedness of physical solutions:

$$\big(\mathbf{E}(t),\mathbf{H}(t)\big)=2\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi_+(t)$$

Note

This also applies to gyrotropic materials where $W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \neq \overline{W}$.

Application: Derivation of Ray Optics Equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+^H \\ \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \times \psi_+^H \\ +\nabla \times \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{(dynamical equation)} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \\ \nabla \cdot \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+^H \\ \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \ll 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} \dot{r} = +\nabla_k \Omega + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ \dot{k} = -\nabla_r \Omega + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ \text{(ray optics equations)} \end{cases}$$

Setting

- Perturbation parameter $\lambda \ll 1$
- Slowly varying *electric permittivity* $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\lambda)$ and *magnetic permeability* $\mu = \mu(\lambda)$ are 3×3 -matrix-valued
- ε , μ and χ : periodic to "leading order"

Application: Derivation of Ray Optics Equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+^E \\ \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \times \psi_+^E \\ +\nabla \times \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{(dynamical equation)} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \forall \cdot \\ \nabla \cdot \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+^E \\ \psi_+^H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{(constraint equation)} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\lambda \ll 1} \begin{cases} \dot{r} = +\nabla_k \Omega + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ \dot{k} = -\nabla_r \Omega + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ \text{(ray optics equations)} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2016))

- $\Omega(r,k) = \tau(r)\,\omega_n(k) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ and eom computed explicitly
- Depending on type of observables: Berry curvature enters

(De Nittis & L., *Derivation of Ray Optics Equations in Photonic Crystals Via a Semiclassical Limit*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **18**, Issue 5, 2017)

Summary





Topological Classification





Classification of Topological Insulators

- **1** Topological class \leftrightarrow Discrete symmetries of M
- 2 **Phases** inside each topological class \longleftrightarrow $\begin{cases} Labeled by \\ topological invariants \end{cases}$
- Bulk-edge correspondences

 $\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{physical} \\ \mathsf{observable} \end{array} \right\} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{topological} \\ \mathsf{invariant} \end{array} \right.$

Classification of Topological Insulators



No Additional Symmetries Assumption

Assumption Apart from those below the system (i. e. the Maxwell operator M) has no additional unitary, commuting symmetries.

Otherwise

- Isock-decompose according to unitary, commuting symmetry.
- ② Repeat until no extraneous symmetries are left.
- 3 Analyze each block separately with the tools used here.

Schrödinger Formalism

Topological Classification

Comparison with Literature

Summary

Symmetries Used in Classification

Example

$$T_3 = \left(\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) C : \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} +\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\overline{\mathbf{E}} \\ -\overline{\mathbf{H}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Pauli matrix $\sigma_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ in electro-magnetic splitting

Comparison with Literature

Summary

Symmetries Used in Classification

Unitary symmetries

$$U_n = \sigma_n \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3$$

Antiunitary symmetries

$$T_n=(\sigma_n\otimes \mathbb{1})\,C, \quad n=0,1,2,3$$

- C is complex conjugation
- $\sigma_0 = 1$ the identity
- σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 are the Pauli matrices in the **E-H** splitting
- U_n and T_n (anti)commute with free Maxwell operator

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Rot} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\mathrm{i}\nabla^\times \\ -\mathrm{i}\nabla^\times & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\sigma_2 \otimes \nabla^\times \end{split}$$

Schrödinger Formalism

Topological Classification

Comparison with Literature

Summary

Admissible Symmetries

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^3 \sigma_n \otimes w_n$$
 where e. g. $w_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \mu)$ and $w_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \mu)$

Admissible Symmetries

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^3 \sigma_n \otimes w_n$$

Symmetry $V =$	$w_0 =$	$w_1 =$	$w_2 =$	$w_3 =$	Symmetry Type
$T_1 = (\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}) C$	${\rm Re}w_0$	${\rm Re}w_1$	${\rm Re}w_2$	${\rm i}{\rm Im}w_3$	+TR
$U_2=\sigma_2\otimes 1\!\!1$	w_0	0	w_2	0	ordinary
$T_3 = (\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1})C$	${\rm Re}w_0$	${\rm i}{\rm Im}w_1$	${\rm Re}w_2$	${\rm Re}w_3$	+TR

Admissibility Conditions

- $\bullet \ \, {\rm Reality} \ \, {\rm of} \ \, ({\bf E},{\bf H}) \ \, \Longleftrightarrow \ \, \omega > 0 \ {\rm fields} \mapsto \omega > 0 \ {\rm fields} \ \, \Longrightarrow \ \, V \ \, M = M \ \, V \ \,$
- Compatibility with energy scalar product $\implies V W = W V$
- \implies exclude *anti*commuting symmetries

Admissible Symmetries

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi^* & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^3 \sigma_n \otimes w_n$$

Symmetry $V =$	$w_0 =$	$w_1 =$	$w_2 =$	$w_3 =$	Symmetry Type
$T_1 = (\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}) C$	${\rm Re}w_0$	${\rm Re}w_1$	${\rm Re}w_2$	${\sf i}{\sf Im}w_3$	+TR
$U_2=\sigma_2\otimes\mathbb{1}$	w_0	0	w_2	0	ordinary
$T_3=(\sigma_3\otimes \mathbb{1})C$	${\rm Re}w_0$	${\rm i}{\rm Im}w_1$	${\rm Re}w_2$	${\rm Re}w_3$	+TR

Admissibility Conditions

 \implies exclude *anti*commuting symmetries

Relevance to Classification

 \Rightarrow exclude unitary, commuting symmetries

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))				
Non-gyrotropic	Gyrotropic			
$\begin{split} W &= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mu} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\ T_3 &= (\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1}) C \end{split}$	$W = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$ No symmetries			
Dual-symmetric	"EH-symmetric"			
$\begin{split} W &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & -\mathrm{i}\chi \\ +\mathrm{i}\chi & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & -\mathrm{i}\overline{\chi} \\ +\mathrm{i}\overline{\chi} & \overline{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\ T_1 &= (\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1})C, \ T_3 = (\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{1})C \end{split}$	$\begin{split} W &= \big(\begin{smallmatrix} \varepsilon & \chi \\ \chi & \varepsilon \end{smallmatrix} \big) = \Big(\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon} & \overline{\chi} \\ \overline{\chi} & \overline{\varepsilon} \end{smallmatrix} \Big) \\ T_1 &= (\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}) C \end{split}$			

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))

Non-gyrotropic

Class Al

Realized, e.g. dielectrics

Dual-symmetric

Two +TR \implies 2 × Class Al Realized, e. g. vacuum and YIG [Khanikaev et al, Nature **12** (2013)] Gyrotropic

Class A (Quantum Hall Class)

Realized, e.g. YIG for microwaves

"EH-symmetric"

Class AI

Realized?

(No example known to us.)

4 different topological classes of EM media

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))

Non-gyrotropic

Class Al

Realized, e.g. dielectrics

Dual-symmetric

Two +TR \implies 2 × Class Al Realized, e. g. vacuum and YIG [Khanikaev et al, Nature **12** (2013)] Gyrotropic Class A (Quantum Hall Class) Realized, e. g. YIG for microwaves

"EH-symmetric"

Class Al Realized? (No example known to us.)

Only one is topologically non-trivial in $d\leq 3$

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))

Medium	CAZ Class	Dimension $d =$			
		1	2	3	4
Gyrotropic	A	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$
Non-gyrotropic	AI	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}
EH -symmetric	AI	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}
Dual-symmetric	2 imes Al	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$

(Classification of Bloch vector bundles with symmetries.) First and second Chern numbers

Theorem (De Nittis & L. (2017))

Medium	CAZ Class	Dimension $d =$				
		1	2	3	4	
Gyrotropic	А	0	Z	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$	
Non-gyrotropic	AI	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	
EH -symmetric	AI	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	
Dual-symmetric	2 imes Al	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	

(Classification of Bloch vector bundles with symmetries.) First and second Chern numbers

Consequences of the Classification Result

- Is the Quantum Hall Effect for Light really analogous to the Quantum Hall Effect?
 Answer: Yes! Both systems are in Class A!
- ② Are there other topological effects?
 Answer: In d ≤ 3 (unfortunately) no!
 (E. g. no analog of the Quantum Spin Hall Effect (class All))
 In d = 4: Effects due to second Chern number or numbers?

Consequences of the Classification Result

- Is the Quantum Hall Effect for Light really analogous to the Quantum Hall Effect?
 Answer: Yes! Both systems are in Class A!
- ② Are there other topological effects?
 Answer: In d ≤ 3 (unfortunately) no!
 (E. g. no analog of the Quantum Spin Hall Effect (class All))
 In d = 4: Effects due to second Chern number or numbers?







4 Summary

Comparison with Other Works

Unidirectional Modes of Fixed (Pseudo)spin

- Works of Xiao Hu et al and Aleksander Khanikaev et al
- Pseudospin degree of freedom in a time-reversal-symmetric medium
- "Hamiltonian" aka Maxwell operator $M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\uparrow} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$ has a block decomposition
- Topological classification must be applied to $M_{\uparrow/\downarrow}$
- M_{↑/↓} of (pseudo) spin ↑/↓ may not possess time-reversal symmetry



Khanikaev et al (2012)

Comparison with Other Works

Wu & Hu (2015)

- Edge modes topological
- Pseudospin degree of freedom in a time-reversal-symmetric medium
- Time-reversal symmetry $T_3 \neq T_{\uparrow} \oplus T_{\downarrow}$ not blockdiagonal $\implies M_{\uparrow/\downarrow}$ class A (no symmetry)
- Chern numbers $C_{\uparrow} = -C_{\downarrow} \neq 0$ possible
- Not in contradiction, edge modes come in ↑ / ↓ pairs
- Topologically protected against perturbations which preserve T_3 symmetry and honeycomb structure





Wu & Hu (2015)

Comparison with Other Works

Khanikaev et al (2013)

- Edge modes not topological
- Dual-symmetric medium
- $T_3 = T_{\uparrow} \oplus T_{\downarrow}$ and $T_1 = (iT_{\uparrow}) \oplus (-iT_{\downarrow})$ **blockdiagonal** and define equivalent symmetries on \uparrow / \downarrow subspaces
- Medium topologically trivial
- Boundary modes for fixed spin come in pairs (located at ±k)



Khanikaev et al (2012)

Summary



- 2 Topological Classification
- 3 Comparison with Literature



Summary

- While Schrödinger formalism for non-gyrotropic media is well-known (1920s for vacuum, ≤1960s for non-gyrotropic media), for gyrotropic media it is new
- Schrödinger formalism = first order in time!
 Systematic adaptation of quantum techniques to EM
- Restricting to $\omega > 0$ is conceptually essential, not just a technical footnote
- Apart from gyrotropic media there are no other topologically non-trivial electromagnetic media
- Ideas apply also to many other classical wave equations (e. g. certain acoustic waves)

Open Problems

- Give a mathematical proof of Haldane's photonic bulk-edge correspondence conjecture (dependence on boundary conditions, etc.)
- Non-linear effects (combining results by Babin & Figotin with De Nittis & L.)
- Application to other classical waves
- Crystallographic symmetries

Thank you for your attention!